

Listening Learning Leading

## **Record of individual Cabinet member decision**

Local Government Act 2000 and the Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Meetings and Access to Information) (England) Regulations 2012

Decision made by	Councillor David Rouane, Leader of South Oxfordshire District Council		
Key decision?	Yes		
Date of decision (same as date form signed)	22/07/22		
Name and job title of officer requesting the decision	Nick King Economic Development Manager		
Officer contact details	Tel: 07801 203545 Email: nick.king@southandvale.gov.uk		
Decision	To approve the submission of South Oxfordshire District Council's investment plan for HM Government's UK Shared Prosperity Fund (UKSPF)		
Reasons for decision	Submission of the investment plan will allow the Council to access HM Government funding that will contribute to achieving corporate plan objectives.		
Alternative options rejected	Do not submit an investment plan for UKSPF and do not proceed to claim funding.		
Climate and ecological implications	Key aspects of the Council's investment plan are decarbonisation and development of green skills, the investment plan aims to secure funding to enable the council to pursue corporate objectives in these areas.		
Legal implications	Funding will be secured via a memorandum of understanding with HM Government. No draft MOU is available at present. The various routes available to the Council to defray funding under the scheme (including commissioning and procurement) are likely to need input from the legal department across the three-year lifespan of the scheme but will follow standard decision-making frameworks.		
Financial implications	The investment plan aims to secure £1m of HM Government funding to enable the council to tackle local priorities. There is an administration fee of 4% attached to the fund, with an award of £20,000 paid to the council to help further development of the investment plan if successful.		

Other	The retionale behin	d the investme	ent plan is broadly to contrib	uto fundina	
			ent plan is broadly to contrib		
implications	,	•	orkstreams, meaning that res		
			d. It should be noted that at t		
	the plan only contains an outline of indicative deliverables, and final				
	projects and delivery mechanisms have not been decided at this stage.				
Background	UKSPF				
papers					
considered					
Declarations/c					
onflict of					
interest?					
Declaration of					
other					
councillor/offic					
er consulted					
by the Cabinet					
member?					
List consultees		Name	Outcome	Date	
	Ward Councillors	N/A			
	Legal	Pat Connell	Approved, with request to add	18/07/22	
	legal@southandval		project summary to ICMD.		
	<u>e.gov.uk</u>				
	Finance	Simon Hewings	Approved	21/07/22	
	Finance@southan				
	dvale.gov.uk	21/2			
	Human resources	N/A			
	hradminandpayroll				
	@southandvale.go v.uk				
	Climate and	Heather	We support the Shared Prosperity	20/07/22	
	biodiversity	Saunders	Fund investment plans and their	20/0//22	
	climateaction@sou		contribution towards the councils'		
	thandvale.gov.uk		climate emergency objectives.		
	Diversity and	Lynne Mitchell	Agreed with no comments	19/07/22	
	equality				
	equalities@southa				
	<u>ndvale.gov.uk</u>				
	Health and safety	N/A			
	healthandsafety@s				
	outhandvale.gov.uk	Yvonne Cutler-	No comments to add, a risk	20/07/22	
	Risk and insurance	Greaves	assessment process has	20/0//22	
	risk@southandvale .gov.uk		commenced on this with updates		
		Emma East	as required.	21/07/22	
	Communications	Emma East	Approved	21/07/22	
	communications@ southandvale.gov.u				
	k				
	Senior	All	Approved	20/07/22	
	Management Team				
	<u>ExecutiveSupportS</u>				
	AV@southandvale.				
	gov.uk				
Confidential	No				
decision?					
If so, under which					
-					

exempt category?	
Call-in waived	No
by Scrutiny	
Committee	
chairman?	
Has this been	Yes.
discussed by	
Cabinet	
members?	
Cabinet	
portfolio	Cinn stone
holder's	SignatureDavid Rouane
signature	Date 22/07/22
To confirm the	
decision as set out	
in this notice.	

## ONCE SIGNED, THIS FORM MUST BE HANDED TO DEMOCRATIC SERVICES IMMEDIATELY.

For Democratic Services office use only					
Form received	Date: 22 July 2022	Time: 09:57			
Date published to all	Date: 22 July 2022				
councillors					
Call-in deadline	Date: 29 July 2022	Time: 17:00			
	-				

## **Guidance notes**

- 1. This form must be completed by the lead officer who becomes the contact officer. The lead officer is responsible for ensuring that the necessary internal consultees have signed it off, including the chief executive. The lead officer must then seek the Cabinet portfolio holder's agreement and signature.
- 2. Once satisfied with the decision, the Cabinet portfolio holder must hand-sign and date the form and return it to the lead officer who should send it to Democratic Services immediately to allow the call-in period to commence.

  Tel. 01235 422520 or extension 2520.

Email: democratic.services@southandvale.gov.uk

- 3. Democratic Services will then publish the decision to the website (unless it is confidential) and send it to all councillors to commence the call-in period (five clear working days) if it is a 'key' decision (see the definition of a 'key' decision below). A key decision cannot be implemented until the call-in period expires. The call-in procedure can be found in the council's constitution, part 4, under the Scrutiny Committee procedure rules.
- 4. Before implementing a key decision, the lead officer is responsible for checking with Democratic Services that the decision has not been called in.
- 5. If a key decision has been called in, Democratic Services will notify the lead officer and decision-maker. This call-in puts the decision on hold.
- 6. Democratic Services will liaise with the Scrutiny Committee chairman over the date of the call-in debate. The Cabinet portfolio holder will be requested to attend the Scrutiny Committee meeting to answer the committee's questions.
- 7. The Scrutiny Committee may:
  - refer the decision back to the Cabinet portfolio holder for reconsideration or
  - refer the matter to Council with an alternative set of proposals (where the final decision rests with full Council) or
  - accept the Cabinet portfolio holder's decision, in which case it can be implemented immediately.

## Key decisions: assessing whether a decision should be classified as 'key'

The South Oxfordshire and Vale of White Horse District Councils' Constitutions now have the same definition of a key decision:

A key decision is a decision of the Cabinet, an individual Cabinet member, or an officer acting under delegated powers, which is likely:

(a) to incur expenditure, make savings or to receive income of more than £75,000;

- (b) to award a revenue or capital grant of over £25,000; or
- (c) to agree an action that, in the view of the chief executive or relevant head of service, would be significant in terms of its effects on communities living or working in an area comprising more than one ward in the area of the council.

Key decisions are subject to the scrutiny call-in procedure; non-key decisions are not and can be implemented immediately.

In assessing whether a decision should be classified as 'key', you should consider:

- (a) Will the expenditure, savings or income total more than £75,000 across all financial years?
- (b) Will the grant award to one person or organisation be more that £25,000 across all financial years?
- (c) Does the decision impact on more than one district council ward? And if so, is the impact significant? If residents or property affected by the decision is in one ward but is close to the border of an adjacent ward, it may have a significant impact on that second ward, e.g. through additional traffic, noise, light pollution, odour. Examples of significant impacts on two or more wards are:
  - Decisions to spend Didcot Garden Town funds (significant impact on more than one ward)
  - Changes to the household waste collection policy (affects all households in the district)
  - Reviewing a housing strategy (could have a significant impact on residents in many wards)
  - Adopting a supplementary planning document for a redevelopment site (could significantly affect more than one ward) or a new design guide (affects all wards)
  - Decisions to build new or improve existing leisure facilities (used by residents of more than one ward)

The overriding principle is that before 'key' decisions are made, they must be published in the Cabinet Work Programme for 28 calendar days. Classifying a decision as non-key when it should be a key decision could expose the decision to challenge and delay its implementation.